DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



EMANUEL T. NEWMAN, PLAINTIFF,

CIVIL No. 01-CV-0677

-Vs-

, i . B

RONALD L. JURY, Sis, Lt, et al., Defendants,

(RAMbo, J.) FILED SCRANTON APR 0 3 2002

MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT

COMES NOW, EMANUEL T. NEWMAN, PRO-SE (hereinafter Plaintiff), And moves This court pursuant TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (HEREINAFTER F.R.CIV. P.), AND LOCAL RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR AN ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO: (a) SUBMIT THE REQUESTED Discoursey To THE DEFENDANTS, (b) RECEIVE DOCUMENTS FROM THE DEFENDANTS CONCERNING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUES FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, AND (C) TO SUBMIT HIS REQUEST FOR SUMMARY Judgment, And REPLY TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Should THEY SUBMIT ONE.

IN Support Thereof, PLAINTIFF STATES THE

- 1) ON MARCH 5, 2002, PLAINTIFF, WITHOUT PRIOR NOTICE WAS TOLD TO PACK, BECAUSE HE WAS BEING TRANSFERRED. PLAINTIFF RUSHED, AND TYPED A MOTION TO THE COURT REQUESTING A STAY OF PROCEEDINGS! IN Which he Expressed his fear OF Missing THE Deadlines SET by The Court Due to his in Transit STATUS.
- 2) The COURT SET MARCH 8, 2002 AS The deadline to have ALL Discovery Completed by The parties to This Litigation. PLAINTIFF, Who's institution pay was posted on March 5, 2002 was unable to go to commissary to buy copy CREdits, to copy the Documents Requested by the Defendants, Because he was transferred.
- 3.) PLAINTIFF, MADE A SECOND REQUEST FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS FROM THE DEFENDANTS FOR VITAL LOG BOOK RECORDS THAT FURTHER SUPPORT his CLAIM. PLAINTIFF had UNTIL MARCH 8, 2002 TO RECEIVE THE REQUESTED DOCUMENTS.

He did NOT RECEIVE THEM PRIOR TO HIS MARCH 5, 2002 TRANSFER, AND ALL MAIL RECEIVED by THE INSTITUTION AFTER HIS TRANSFER IS RETURNED TO THE SENDER.

4) PLAINTIFF IS UNABLE TO EVALUATE ALL REQUESTED DISCOVERY AND PREPARE HIS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, BECAUSE OF his IN TRANSIT STATUS.

HE does NOT have Any of His LEGAL PAPERS, AND HAS NO WAY OF GETTING THEM UNTIL he RETURNS TO F.C.I. PEKIN AND IS ISSUED his PROPERTY. THEREFORE, he is NOT ABLE TO MEET THE DEADLINE SET by THE COURT TO have his MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED.

5.) ON MARCH 14, 2002, PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION WAS LONGE SAID DEPOSITION WAS COMPLETED, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY MARK E. MORRISSON TOLD PLAINTIFF THAT he had THE RIGHT TO REVIEW THE TRANSCRIPT FOR ERRORS PRIOR TO IT BEING CERTIFIED.

BECAUSE OF THE SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME
REMAINING BEFORE THE DEADLINE ENDS, AND PLAINTIFF'S
CURRENT IN TRANSIT STATUS, he will NOT RECEIVE
THE TRANSCRIFT TO CORRECT The BRRORS AS IS
HIS RIGHT UNDER THE F.R.C.IV. P. AND LOCAL RULES
OF PROCEDURES.

bi) As of Today, April 1st, 2002, plaintiff's
STATUS is STILL "IN TRANSIT" AND EVEN IF HE
WERE TO APRIVE AT HIS INSTITUTION OF CUSTOCKY
THIS WEEK, HE WOULD NOT be ABLE TO OBTAIN
his LEGAL PAPERS, BECAUSE IT OFTEN TAKES
FROM 7 TO 14 ADDITIONAL DAYS TO RECEIVE
PROPERTY ONCE AN IMMATE ARRIVES AT
The INSTITUTION.

Therefore, Because the Circumstances
RELATED TO PLAINTIFF'S "IN TRANSIT" STATUS ARE
beyond his Control, And HE is UNABLE TO
MEET Any OF THE REmaining Deadlines.

PLAINTIFF, MOVES THIS COURT FOR AN ENLARGEMENT OF TIME OF 60 DAYS SO THAT HE MAY: (a) SUBMIT DISCOVERY TO DEFENDANTS, (b) RECEIVE DISCOVERY FROM THE DEFENDANTS, AND (c) EVALUATE ALL EVIDENCE, PREPARE A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT SHOULD THEY SUBMIT ONE

4/1/02

RESPECTFULLY, EMOUNT T. NEWMAN 13418-039 P.O. BOX 5000 (mo-1) PEKIN, IL 61555-5000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, EMANUEL Thomas NEWMAN, PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. 9 1746, Do hereby STATE UPON MY DATH, THAT I have serviced A COPY OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME ON ALL PARTIES VIN THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AND THE CLERK OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT.

By EMANUEL THOMAS WEWMAN, PLAINTIFF, PRO-SU.
13418-039

Copies To:

CLERK OF COURT

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

235 W. WASHINGTON AVE

P.O. BOX 1148

SCRANTON, PA 178501

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICER
90: U.S. DISTRICT COURT
235 N. WASHINGTON AUG
SCRANTON, PA. 178501